NOV n 1 2007	no person	U.S. P	atent and Tr	ademark	PTO/SB/21 (07-06) d for use through 09/30/2006. OMB 0651-0031 t Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE unless it displays a valid OMB control number.
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.		Application Number	10/072,437		
TRANSMITTAL		Filing Date	February 5, 2006		
FORM		First Named Inventor	Bolt, Thom	as B.	
		Art Unit	2166		
(to be used for all correspondence after initial	filing)	Examiner Name	Woo, Isaac	: М.	
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	4	Attorney Docket Number	Q02-1032-	US1 / 11	198.85
	ENC	LOSURES (Check all	that apply)	
Fee Transmittal Form Fee Attached		Drawing(s) Licensing-related Papers			After Allowance Communication to TC Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences
Amendment/Reply After Final Affidavits/declaration(s) Extension of Time Request		Petition Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocatior Change of Correspondence A Terminal Disclaimer		\ 	Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Proprietary Information Status Letter Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify below):

Request for Refund

Remarks

CD, Number of CD(s)

Landscape Table on CD

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT

Express Abandonment Request

Information Disclosure Statement

Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53

Law Office of Steven G. Roeder

rhes P. Broder

Certified Copy of Priority

Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application

Document(s)

Firm Name

Typed or printed name

- Interview Summary

Date

October 29, 2007

- Return Receipt Postcard

Printed name

Date

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below:

Signature

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.





IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:	Bolt)
Serial No:	10/072,437) Art Unit) 3654
Filed:	February 5, 2002)
For:	EMULATED BACKUP TAPE DRIVE USING DATA COMPRESSION))
Examiner:	Woo, Isaac M.)
Attorney Docket:	Q02-1032-US1 / 11198.85))

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

The following is an Interview Summary in this matter pertaining the a Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief mailed on October 9, 2007.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 CFR §1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class, postage prepaid mail, in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Appeal Brief Patents, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on this the 29th day of October, 2007.

JAMES P. BRODER, Attorney for Applicant--Registration No. 43,514

Interview Summary

On October 18, 2007, the undersigned attorney for the Appellant conducted a telephonic interview with Supervisor Hosain T. Alam, after being unable to contact Examiner Isaac Woo. During the interview, a Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (hereinafter "Notification") mailed on October 9, 2007, was discussed. The undersigned attorney questioned the validity and propriety of the Notification because the Appellant believes that all requirements for filing the Brief on Appeal were satisfied. Supervisor Alam agreed that the requirements had been satisfied and stated that a conference between Examiner Woo and himself would occur. Further, Supervisor Alam stated that it was unnecessary for the Appellant to respond to the Notification, and that an Examiner's Answer to the Brief on Appeal would be forthcoming.

The undersigned attorney and the Appellant wish to thank Supervisor Alam for his time and assistance during the interview.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the Appellant will not respond to the Notification. If the undersigned attorney has misinterpreted the substance of the interview in any way, the Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned attorney immediately at 858-487-4077.

Dated this the 29th day of October, 2007.

.5

Respectfully submitted,

MES P. BRODER
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 43,514

THE LAW OFFICE OF STEVEN G. ROEDER 10680 Treena St., Ste. 100 San Diego, California 92131 Telephone: (858) 487-4077